



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/943,773	08/30/2001	Shinako Matsuyama	09792909-5133	2470	
26263	7590 11/29/2005		EXAMINER		
	SONNENSCHEIN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP P.O. BOX 061080 WACKER DRIVE STATION, SEARS TOWER			LIPMAN, JACOB	
				PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO,	IL 60606-1080		2134		

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	, <u>.</u>				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/943,773	MATSUYAMA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jacob Lipman	2134			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Not</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-4,6-14 and 31 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4,6-14 and 31 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access and applicant may not request that any objection to the oregonal corrections.	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. epted or b)□ objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
G.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

The numbering of claims is not in accordance with 37 CFR 1.126 which requires the original numbering of the claims to be preserved throughout the prosecution. When claims are canceled, the remaining claims must not be renumbered. When new claims are presented, they must be numbered consecutively beginning with the number next following the highest numbered claims previously presented (whether entered or not).

Misnumbered claim 15 has been renumbered 31.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 1-4, 6-10, 12, 14, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Rose et al., US Patent number 5,708,709.

With regard to claim 31, Rose discloses a distribution system (column 9 lines 9-12) including a plurality of user devices, a secure container (column 9 line 41) containing encrypted content (column 9 lines 46-50) and condition information (column 10 lines 36-42), distributing the container by transmission (column 9 lines 54-56), performing person authentication (column 9 line 66-column 10 line 3) based on a person ID referenced to an ID list (column 10 lines 4-7), where the container includes the ID list.

Application/Control Number: 09/943,773 Page 3

Art Unit: 2134

With regard to claims 1, 4 and 12, Rose discloses the user ID is compared with the ID listed in the container (column 10 lines 4-17).

With regard to claim 2, Rose discloses storing the container to local memory (column 9 lines 54-56).

With regard to claim 3, Rose discloses the control information includes conditions for processing secondary distribution (expiration date).

With regard to claim 6, Rose discloses after verification, the program may be loaded for execution in the client's computer (column 10 lines 21-29)

With regard to claim 7, Rose discloses the key is available to the client (column 10 lines 21-29).

With regard to claim 8, Rose discloses the container is stored before verification (column 9 lines 54-56).

With regard to claim 9, Rose discloses the user is being verified (column 10 lines 25-29).

With regard to claim 10, Rose discloses the container contains user permissions (column 10 lines 43-53).

With regard to claim 14, Rose discloses the ID is created from the user's card (column 7 lines 9-26).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 11 and 13, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rose.

With regard to claims 11 and 13, Rose discloses the content distribution system, as outlined above, but does not mention adding a digital signature to the container. The examiner takes official notice that adding a signature to distributed data is common to protect the receiver from harmful executables. It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to sign the container disclosed by Rose to increase the receiver's security and trust.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 2 November 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With regard to applicant's argument that Rose does not disclose comparing the IDC with sampling information input by the user, the examiner points out that this limitation was rejected (in now-canceled claim 5) in the prior office action. Applicant fails to provide an argument for any differences between the cited portion of Rose, and the claimed limitation. It is thus unclear what applicant considers to be lacking in the cited portion of Rose.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Art Unit: 2134

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacob Lipman whose telephone number is 571-272-3837. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7 AM-3 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Morse can be reached on 571-272-3838. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/943,773 Page 6

Art Unit: 2134

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TECHNOLOGY CENTRA 2:03

JL